Date:

. Kennedy

10-31-2017

10/19/20

Released under the John

Assassination Records

Case#:NW 54756 Date:

Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).

Agency Information

AGENCY:

HSCA

RECORD NUMBER:

180-10110-10001

RECORD SERIES:

AGENCY FILE NUMBER:

SECURITY CLASSIFIED TESTIMONY

Document Information

ORIGINATOR:

HSCA

008215

FROM:

[Restricted]

TO:

TITLE:

EXECUTIVE SESSION

DATE:

04/12/1978

PAGES:

42

SUBJECTS:

CIA, METHODOLOGY

[Restricted]

OSWALD, LEE, POST-RUSSIAN PERIOD, TRAVEL, TRIP TO

MEXICO MEXICO CITY

DOCUMENT TYPE:

TRANSCRIPT

CLASSIFICATION:

Unclassified

RESTRICTIONS:

3

CURRENT STATUS:

Redact

DATE OF LAST REVIEW:

01/01/2003

OPENING CRITERIA:

COMMENTS:

Duplicate enclosed.Box 1.

v9.1

CONTENTS

TESTIMONY OF:

ló

PAGE

Boris Tarasoff

1-4

EXHIBITS

NUMBER MARKED RECEIVED

94

1-4

300 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

TASCIONE: amt

EXECUTIVE SESSION

3

.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 12, 1978

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

U.S. House of Representatives,

Subcommittee on the Assassination of John F. Kennedy of the Select Committee on Assassinations,

Washington, D.C.

The Subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 3:50 p.m. in room 1310, Longworth House Office Building, Hon. Richardson Preyer (Chairman of the Subcommittee) presiding.

Present: Representatives Preyer, Dodd, Fithian and Sawyer.

Also present: E. Berning, R. Morrison, G. Fonzi,
J. Wolf, M. Glanagan, A. Purdy, J. Hornbeck, I. C. Mathews,
G. Cornwell, W. Cross, H. Leap, M. Wills, D. Hardway, R.
Genzman and C. Berk.

Mr. Dodd. The Committee will come to order.

For the record, we are continuing in Executive Session.

Present are Congressman Fithian and myself, Congressman

Dodd.

21 22

100 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

23

24

25

For the record, again, it should be noted that the witness is appearing of his own volition and not as a result of a subpoena and I would ask the witness to rise and be sworn.

3

4

5

7

10

! !

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

Would you stand up for me please, sir?
Would you raise your right hand?

Do you swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes, sir, I do.

Mr. Dodd. I am going to read -- first of all, I want to ask you, Farasoff, is that the correct pronunciation?

Mr. Dodd. First of all, Mr. Tarasoff, you have received a copy of the rules of the Committee?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes, sir.

Mr. Tarasoff.

Mr. Dodd. You had an opportunity to look over those rules?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes, sir.

Mr. Dodd. I am going, pursuant to our rules, at this particular juncture, I am going to read, for your benefit, the House Resolution 222, which was a resolution that gave birth to this Committee, and that resolution states that this Committee is mandated to conduct a full and complete investigation and study of the circumstances surrounding the assassination and death of President John F. Kennedy, including determining whether the existing laws of the United States concerning the protection of the President and the investigatory jurisdiction and capability of agencies and departments, are adequate in their provisions and enforcement,



23

24

lá

and whether there was full disclosure of evidence and information among agencies and departments of the United States government and whether any evidence or information not in the possession of an agency and department would have been of assistance in investigating the assassination and why such information was not provided or collected by that agency or department; and to make recommendations to the House, if the Select Committee deems appropriate, for the amendment of existing legislation or the enactment of new legislation.

Now, Mr. Tarasoff, I am going to ask counsel, Mr. Robert Ganzman who is sitting in front of you, to your right, to proceed with some questions, after which Mr. Fithian and myself may have some follow-on questions for you.

We are grateful for your appearance before this Committee and we will await your response to some of these questions.



4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

TESTIMONY OF BORIS TARASOFF

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarassof, would you give your full name and address for the record?

Mr. Tarasoff. My full name is Boris D. Tarasoff, residing at the present time in the vicinity --

Mr. Dodd. Excuse me, I want to know for the record, you do not have counsel with you and, according to our rules, you could have counsel with you if you desire. I remind those that you are here that you could speak up a little louder. If you do not hear a question accurately, chirp right up and tell us you want us to speak louder.

Go ahead, counsel.

Mr. Genzman. Thank you.

Mr. Tarasoff, would you give your full name and address for the record?

Mr. Tarasoff. My name is Boris D. Tarasoff and the address is Bela Hora, Mexico.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Chairman, at this time I would like to introduce into the record a document to be labelled JFK Exhibit 94.

Mr. Dodd. Without objection, so ordered.

(The document referred to was marked JFK Exhibit No. 94 for identification and will be found in the files of the Subcommittee.)



25.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

23

24 25

Mr. Genzman. Would the Clerk give this document to Mr. Tarasoff?

Mr. Tarasoff, have you previously been shown a copy of JFK Exhibit No. 94?

Mr. Tarasoff. A copy, the one that you gave us?

Mr. Genzman. I am directing your attention to the document that the clerk just gave you, Mr. Tarasoff. Have you previously been shown a copy of that letter which has been labelled JFK Exhibit No. 94?

Mr. Tarasoff. Just this morning.

Mr. Genzman. Fine. You were shown a copy of the letter this morning?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Thank you.

Is it your understanding, Mr. Tarasoff, that this document is a letter dated March 23, 1978 from the CIA which authorizes any individual who has executed the Secrecies Agreement with the CIA or who considers himself bound by such a secrecy agreement with the CIA to furnish this Committee with information within its scope and jurisdiction?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Chairman, my questions will involve three general areas. First, the CIA's surveillance operations in Mexico City around 1963. Then the CIA's surveillance with specific reference to Lee Harvey Oswald's contacts with

Ţ

2

3

4

5

ş

10

11

12

.13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

the Soviet and Cuban Embassies in Mexico City about this time. And finally, identification techniques as applied to the surveillance of Oswald in Mexico City.

First of all, Mr. Tarasoff, what is your present occupation?

Mr. Tarasoff. Pardon me?

Mr. Genzman. What is your present occupation?

Mr. Tarasoff. I have retired from the service, seven years ago.

Mr. Genzman. How long have you been retired, seven years?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Did you work for the CIA before your retirement?

Mr. Tarasoff. Oh, yes.

Mr. Genzman. Were you an agent, an officer or an asset for the CIA?

Mr. Tarasoff. I was a staff officer.

Mr. Genzman. Could you detail for the Committee your assignments with the CIA around the time of 1963?

Mr. Tarasoff. I started working in Mexico City in June, 1963 and continued up until the end of October, 1970.

Mr. Genzman. Were you aware of any surveillance operations conducted by the CIA in Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. Well, I was aware, because -- may I



23

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

[3

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

21

22

qualify my statement, expand it a little bit?

Mr. Genzman. Would you repeat that, please?

Mr. Garasoff. PMay I expand?

Mr. Genzman. Certainly.

Mr. Tarasoff. In 1960, I came to Mexico City to replace a friend of mine who was working in the same capacity and I stayed with Mexico City for four months in 1960 and I went back to my regular occupation with DDI.

And that is the Documents Section, CIA. And after that, I tried to be assigned to a job in Mexico City which I finally succeeded in doing. So therefore, when this friend of mine went back to Washington, I replaced him in Mexico City in June, '63.

Mr. Genzman. What were your duties in Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. You mean during my TDY or after?

Mr. Genzman. I am speaking about your duties regarding surveillance operations in Mexico City. Would you tell us what your duties were?

Mr. Tarasoff. My duties were on a less permanent -on a more or less permanent basis -- to receive the tapes first, then to translate from Russian into English the conversation that was on the tape and to transcribe the whole thing.

Mr. Genzman. Where did these tapes originate? Mr. Tarasoff. The tapes originated right there in

23

24 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

3

4

5

á

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ló

17

18

19

20

Mexico City because some of the telephone wires leading to areas, establishments, were tapped. Therefore, as a result, we had these conversations on the tape.

Mr. Genzman. Were some of these tapes a result of telephone taps on the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. Pardon, I did not hear the question.

Mr. Genzman. Were some of these tapes which you dealt with the result of telephone taps on the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. That is correct.

Mr. Genzman. Were you responsible for the translation or transcription of tapes from other operations besides the Soviet Embassy operation?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes, there were several operations going on as a result of which we got tapes also, but they were taken of the so-called bugs, were planted in several strategic spots, dealing with Soviet nationals. Therefore, I had to transcribe them also.

Mr. Genzman. Did you work inside the American Embassy?

Mr.Tarasoff. The American Embassy?

Mr. Genzman. Yes.

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes, when I was in TDY.

Mr. Genzman. I see. But as part of your transcription and translation of these tapes, did you work inside the American Embassy or outside of the Embassy in 1963?



23

Ī

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

	Mr.	Tarasoff.	In 1963, I	was	completely	divorced
from	the	Embassy.	We worked, s	o to	speak, on	our own.

Mr. Genzman. Did you have contacts inside the American Embassy with whom you dealt in 1963?

Mr. Tarasoff. Well, we had the so-called contact man who delivered the tapes and, the next day, he would pick them up and deliver the next batch to be transcribed and so it went, around the clock, with the exception of Sunday. There was no delivery, but Monday I had double work to do that included Sunday's and Saturday's.

Mr. Genzman. Are you saying that you would receive tapes the day after they were made?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. And that you would deliver them the following day, after you transcribed them and translated them?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Do you remember the names of any of your contacts who either gave you the tapes or received the tapes from you along with the transcriptions, when you were finished with them?

Mr. Tarasoff. Well, the first contact was Radford Zoronde. After quite awhile, his name was Rudy. I do not know whether it was a pseudonym or a true name. That is all I know about him.



24 25

11

12

13

: 4

13

iá

17

18

19

20

7

	Mr.	Ge	enzman.	Do	you	know	the	nationality	of	the
								•		
pers	on y	ou	believe	was	ca	lled	Rudy	?		

Mr. Tarasoff. I think he was a Pole.

Mr. Genzman. Could you give us a description?

Mr. Tarasoff. Tall, receding forehead, light hair, more or less regular featured, nothing outstanding about him.

Mr. Genzman. Can you give any other identifying information for Rudy, as to his family, possibly?

Mr. Tarasoff. He was married to a French woman and it seemed that they did not get along. Whether she divorced him after -- it was some kind of a mix-up in his family life.

And the chief man recognized him by the fact he worked in Indochina as a transcriber. His Russian was nonexistent after I found out, so he was just coasting along.

I would like to give you several pieces Mr. Genzman. of physical description and ask you if these fit the person you referred to as Rudy.

Did this person have blond hair?

Mr. Tarasoff. As far as I recollect, I think so.

Mr. Genzman. Was his height 5 foot six inches?

Mr. Tarasoff. Pardon me?

Mr. Genzman. Was his height approximately five feet six inches, or taller or shorter?



24

23

3

4

5

ó

8

10

11

12

13

4

15

ìć

17

18

19

20

Mr. Tarasoff. No. He was taller than I.

Mr. Genzman. I see.

Would you describe his face as being thin or full?

Mr. Tarasoff. As far as I remember, he was regular size, but slightly slimmer.

Mr. Genzman. Can you tell me whether he spoke Russian?

Mr. Tarasoff. No, he did not speak Russian. As I

said before, his Russian was rather limited.

Mr. Genzman. Are you saying he spoke poor Russian?
Mr. Tarasoff. He had hardly spoken Russian.

Mr. Genzman. I see.

What exactly did you receive from your contact and what exactly did you then give to this contact after you were finished with it?

Mr. Tarasoff. After the tapes were processed by me, at first, they wanted me to do the Spanish before, but there was such a specific volume, I just could not cope with it. So therefore, the Spanish was taken away from me and given back to a place where they were recording it for the Mexican personnel to transcribe it in Spanish, because most of the people at the Embassy knew Spanish.

mr-1

fls. Tascione
H. Assassin.

3

5

ó

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

12-78

REPORTERS BUILDING, UASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 S. W. 7TH STREET,



23

24

25

Mr. Genzman. Are you saying then that you did not, in fact, transcribe and translate the Spanish sections of the tapes?

Mr. Tarasoff. No, after a while I transcribed only Russian.

Mr. Genzman. As part of this operation, do you ever have occasion to have contact with a Mr. Herbert Monell?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes, definitely.

Mr. Genzman. Can you tell me what his position was?

Mr. Tarasoff. He was an officer of some sort in charge of operations. What precisely he was doing I cannot describe because it was strictly his business.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever have occasion to have contact with AnneGoodpastereas part of your operation?

Mr. Tarasoff. I know the people, Herbert Monell, Goodpaster, through my stay in Mexico City in 1960 because at
that time, I was inside the embassy. I had to abide by the
rules of the embassy, for instance, start work at 9:00 in
the morning and terminate at 6. Sometimes there was so little
work in transcribing the Russian portion of the tape that I
was just hanging around doing nothing.

Mr. Genzman. In conjunction with your transcribing and translation operation, did you ever have occasion to make personality assessment or voice identification of the people whose voices you heard on the tapes?

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

That was my idea that I suggested to them Mr. Tarasoff. after I came for my regular tour of duty, to have the voice The idea was rejected. On what grounds I do not sample. know.

Anyway, after about three or four months they did come out with a suggestion that I start saving those voice samples. I would put them on 8 millimeter reels, movie reels. At first I had a -- I do my own movies. Then we had to run around Mexico City looking for empty reels.

We got quite a collection of voice samples. Some of them have been turned over to the embassy people. At the end of my tour, of course, everything was turned over.

Mr. Genzman. Do you ever make personality assessment? Mr. Tarasoff. Personality assessment?

Mr. Genzman. Personality assessment based on the information which you heard on the tapes or based upon any emotions you detected from the tapes?

Mr. Tarasoff. Will you be more descriptive if it does not interfere with your time? The thing is Mr. Monell one day suggested to me that I do the character study based solely upon the audit part of the tapes. I thought it was an excellent idea because this was a challenge to me, how to describe a person solely upon his intonations, inflection, et cetera, what he is talking about.

It was interesting work and I think it was appreciated



3

5

ó

8

ç

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 STREET, S.W. 7.TH by the station because I got my promotion very shortly thereafter.

Mr. Genzman. Thank you. Did you ever have any connection with the Cuban Embassy surveillance operation in Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. No.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know of any people, besides the people you have already named, who were connected with surveillance operations either in conjunction with the Soviet Embassy or the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. I cannot answer that. I do not know.

Mr. Genzman. In conjunction with the personality assessment which you sometimes made based on what you heard from tapes, do you have occasion to make comments on the transcription sheet when you detected something about the person's personality from the tapes?

Mr. Tarasoff. First, I used to make just a short synopsis saying, well, "This fellow is saying in an agitated voice", and so forth. I would inject some of my personal feeling about a person. The station told me to eliminate it because they did not want to see that. If I had anything to say about a person, I should use a separate sheet of paper for that purpose.

Mr. Genzman. Thank you. Were the transcripts which you made original or did they include both originals and carbon

21 22

23

2425

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

3

á

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

JOO 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

copies?

Mr. Tarasoff. Carbon copies, I think. We made one original and three at first, if I am not mistaken. Then there was too much repetition, so one carbon copy was discarded.

Mr. Genzman. Are you saying, then, that the procedure was changed so that you had one original and two carbon copies?

Mr. Tarasoff. I think that is correct.

Mr. Genzman. Thank you. What did you do with the original and the carbons?

Mr. Tarasoff. When my wife was picked up on the payroll to continue her work with the CIA, her job was to mark down the date and the embassy's telephone number or military attache's number or whatever number it is. She was told to put each conversation on a separate piece of paper and send it to the station.

Later on there was such a mess of stuff being sent back they said, "Can't you figure out which is pertinent and which is superfluous and just superfluous? If somebody calls in and blabs about inconsequential things, tear them up and put them in the wastepaper basket." That is it.

Mr. Genzman. Are you saying your wife cut up at least one of the copies of the transcript?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

21

23

24

2

3

4

5

ó

7

8

9

10

!!

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

309 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

20 23

Mr. Genzman. And sent those cut-up parts to the embassy?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Was it the carbon copy she cut up and sent to the embassy to be filed?

Mr. Tarasoff. One carbon copy was cut up. There were two carbon copies and an original went back to the station.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarasoff, were these transcriptions you made literal transcriptions or sample conversations?

Mr. Tarasoff. I did not get the question.

Mr. Genzman. The transcriptions which you made, were these original literal transcriptions or were they merely summaries of conversations?

Mr. Tarasoff. Before when I arrived at the TDY, my friends told me how it worked. It was this fellow calls up and says this, that and the other, hang up. Herbert Monell insisted I do everything verbatim.

Besides the little translation which you Mr. Genzman. put on your transcript, did you include any comments?

Mr. Tarasoff. Sometimes, very short, because as I said before, the long ones they frowned upon. "If you want it, put it on a separate sheet of paper."

Mr. Genzman. What type of comments did you commonly make?

Mr. Tarasoff. You mean the short form or what?

24

7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

l

7

3

5

ó . 7

3

10

11

13

14

15

ló

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Mr. Genzman. The short one.

Mr. Tarasoff. The short form, I said "Well, the fellow seems to be agitated", for instance. That is all.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever make these comments on separate sheets of paper?

Mr. Tarasoff. After I started doing character studies,
I would just keep them and consult them whenever I started
doing one of the descriptive things.

Mr. Genzman. Would you have put any type of identifying information on the transcript?

Mr. Tarasoff. Can you repeat the question?

Mr. Genzman. Besides the translation of the literal conversation, did you have occasion to put identifying information concerning the people who were speaking on the transcript, itself?

In other words, if you could identify the people speaking did you note that on the transcript?

Mr. Tarasoff. This is the way it worked. We knew precisely who would be living, for instance, in the film's office. If someone answers, we know it was the chief film's official. Once you get used to the person's voice or how he speaks and so forth, it was practically no trouble at all to identify.

Mr. Genzman. On the transcript did you ever refer to the speakers in any other ways aside from their names which

300 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

2

3

1

5

ó

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

you identified?

Mr. Genzman. Certainly. On your transcripts did you ever use any other identifying information besides proper names for the individuals who were speaking? Did you ever know whether the speaker was inside the embassy or whether the speaker was outside the embassy?

Mr. Tarasoff. Would you clarify that question?

Mr. Tarasoff. Oh, that was very easy, especially if I did not know who was speaking. If the call comes in, you put down "Man Outside", "MO", or whoever answers from the embassy you put down "MI", man inside.

Usually the calls to the embassy came to the small booth in front of the gate. There were three people usually on duty all the time. So, their voices I knew personally, well, this fellow answers, whatever the caller wants, he transfers the call to the main building.

Mr. Genzman. In your transcription do you ever make comments as to the quality of the language spoken by the person or did you ever make reference to any prior conversation which that individual had made?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes, I had to do that because sometimes calls came in and the fellow speaks Russian. Well, you would have to let them know exactly how he talks.

For instance, there were several Jewish merchants in Mexico City who spoke Russian, either with a Jewish accent or

21

23

24 25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

2

3

1

5

á

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

24

25

JOO 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

23

rather poorly. So I would make a comment to that effect at first probably, because later on if this fellow called back and forth, his wife wanted to have a fur coat and so forth, you do not have to mention every time that he speaks with a terrible accent when you knew exactly who the fellow was and put down his name.

Mr. Genzman. Do you think at this time that you would be able to recognize a transcript which you had made in 1963?

Mr. Tarasoff. It is a long time ago. I think I would recognize my mode of typing it out.

You mentioned earlier that your wife helped you on occasion in your operations.

Mr. Tarasoff. That is right.

Mr. Genzman. Can you tell us exactly how she assisted you?

Mr. Tarasoff. Usually there was some English-speaking person on the line that did not need any translation, just transcribing. Then I would ask my wife to listen. Of course she had a much keener sense of hearing than I.

Consequently, she would take over and type out this particular conversation. At first she was not working for a year in Mexico City, but she was helping me out nevertheless. After she was picked up, she gave me all the help necessary to clip those entries and paste them on a paper and stamp the

2

3

ó

3

10

!1

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

BOO 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20029 (202) 554-2345

date and so forth.

Mr. Genzman. Would it be fair to say that your wife handled the English language conversation on the tapes received and you generally handled the Soviet language conversations on the tapes received?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes, but sometimes if the conversation was simple and not too long, I would do it myself.

Mr. Genzman. Which language are you referring to?

Mr. Tarasoff. English.

Mr. Genzman. Did anyone else assist you in your operation besides your wife?

Mr. Tarasoff. No. You see, I would like to explain, we were completely removed from the embassy. If we got into any kind of jam, we could not count upon the help from the embassy or from the CIA. We were entirely on our own.

Mr. Genzman. At this time, Mr. Chairman, do you have any questions concerning the general surveillance operation in Mexico City which Mr. Tarasoff was involved with?

Mr. Fithian. Mr. Sawyer?

Mr. Sawyer. Not right now, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Fithian. Mr. Tarasoff, you said you worked by yourself and if you got into any difficulty you were not to indicate any association with the agency or with the embassy?

Mr. Tarasoff. That is correct, sir.

Mr. Fithian. You mailed back the translations on a

21

23 24

25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

JOG 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

regular basis?

Mr. Tarasoff. It was not mailed. It was delivered personally.

Mr. Fithian. So you went to the embassy daily?

Mr. Tarasoff. No. We had a courier, or whoever he was, who would pick up the stuff and deliver the next batch to me to be transcribed.

Mr. Fithian. How long did you work at this job? I think you mentioned it earlier.

Mr. Tarasoff. How long I worked outside?

Mr. Fithian. Yes, in Mexico.

Mr. Tarasoff. About seven-and-a-half years.

Mr. Fithian. I have no other questions, counsel.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarasoff, in the course of your duties did Lee Harvey Oswald come to your attention?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Do you remember the time?

Mr. Tarasoff. The time?

Mr. Genzman. Around what period did Lee Harvey Oswald come to your attention as a result of your duties in the surveillance operation?

Mr. Tarasoff. At the end of September I think it was, 1963.

Mr. Genzman. How did he come to your attention?

Mr. Tarasoff. First of all, he called -- as far as I

21

23

24

7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

14

15

lá

17

18

19

8

3

ó

20

24

25

recall, he tried to speak Russian but I think his Russian was so poor that I did not understand. I cannot recall without seeing the transcript

Mr. Genzman. When you say that Lee Harvey Oswald called, are you saying he called the Soviet Embassy?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes. He called the Soviet Embassy as far as I can remember. After that -- let us see what happened ---

Mr. Genzman. Let me ask you this, Mr. Tarasoff. you saying Lee Harvey Oswald's call to the Soviet Embassy in 1963 was picked up by means of this surveillance operation?

Mr. Tarasoff. I would say yes, I think that is what It was picked up on the tape. happened.

Did you translate and transcribe this Mr. Genzman. conversation which was picked up on tape?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes. As far as I remember there were two conversations. There might have been more. certain.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarasoff, at this time I would like to show you several documents which deal with the Mexico City surveillance operation and ask you to comment on it.

These documents, for information purposes for the Congressmen, are contained on pages one, two, ten and eleven of the packet of exhibits which was given to them prior to the testimony.

Mr. Tarasoff, have you seen these documents before?

300 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUTLDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. When were these shown to you?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yesterday.

Mr. Genzman. I would like to first draw your attention to the document which is labeled page two at the bottome of the page, in the bottom right-hand corner of the page. I believe the first document you have in your hand is labeled "P2". Do you have that document in front of you?

Mr. Tarasoff. Okay, thank you.

Mr. Genzman. Can you tell us what you know about this document, Mr. Tarasoff?

Mr. Tarasoff. This was written by me.

Mr. Genzman. You are referring to the handwriting on the left-hand side of the page which states "Lienvoy"?

Mr. Tarasoff. That is right. That is in my handwriting Now, the next line where it says '28 September, 63 and 156055" was stamped by my wife. She was not employed yet and I had to do it myself. She helped me to stamp this date and the number of the Soviet Embassy below it.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarasoff, do you remember transcribing this particular conversation, and I would like to refer you to the English speaking portion of the words on this page beginning with "Please see the Spanish transcription"?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Do you recall that this piece of paper was

21 22

23 24

25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

á

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

part of your transcription operation, that is, do you recall making this transcription?

Mr. Tarasoff. This part is not mine at the top. below it looks like the one I used, with slashes instead of brackets.

Mr. Genzman. Would you explain what the slash marks are used for?

Mr. Tarasoff. It is used to make reference to extraneous material which is not included in the speech. like a comma.

For instance, "MO takes the phone and says in broken Russian", that is my comment.

Mr. Genzman. Does this transcription agree with your recollection of the conversation involving Oswald? Does this page of transcription agree with your recollection of a conversation involving Lee Harvey Oswald and a person in the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. I can safely say that it does, but of course at that time, we did not pay too much attention to this business because we had several callers who would speak in English or try to practice their Russian. Anyway, since this was of no importance I did not bother to expand.

Mr. Genzman. You stated earlier that the transcription states that person spoke in broken Russian. Does this agree with your recollection of Oswald's voice on the tape?

23

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

were spoken by Oswald.

Mr. Tarasoff. Unfortunately I cannot recall the tone of his voice or what he said.

Mr. Genzman. Can you recall what language he used? Mr. Tarasoff. If he used Russian, it was unintelligible, That is why they probably got somebody who could speak English later on.

Mr. Genzman. As a result of this conversation, were you able to identify the person speaking as Lee Harvey Oswald? Mr. Tarasoff. I can remember two conversations that

I am referring to the first conversation, Mr. Genzman. to the first document.

Mr: Tarasoff. The first conversation, yes, I think that is the one I have done.

Mr. Genzman. I am referring to this document and this document alone at the moment. From this particular document which relates to a conversation, were you able to determine that the speaker was Lee Harvey Oswald?

Mr. Tarasoff. I would have no idea who was talking at that time.

Mr. Genzman. I would next like to refer you to page 10, the document labeled "Pl0" at the bottom right-hand corner.

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes, I have it.

Mr. Genzman. Could you identify this document?

Mr. Tarasoff. It looks exactly like the way I would do it.

24

2

5

ó

7

3

9

10

!!

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

It was inconsequential at the time. It could have been just a mistake in phone number. I do not know why he called this particular number if he knew the previous embassy number. Mr. Genzman. Aside from this document do you have any independent recollection of this type of conversation? Mr. Tarasoff. This particular one?

Yes.

Mr. Genzman.

Mr. Tarasoff. No, it was rather run-of-the-mill conversation.

Mr. Tarasoff, I would next like to direct Mr. Genzman. your attention to the document labeled "Pl" in the bottom right-hand corner. Could you identify this document?

Mr. Tarasoff. This is definitely mine.

Mr. Genzman. What makes you say that?

Mr. Tarasoff. Because I underlined -- maybe I will start from the beginning.

We got a request from the station to see if we can pick up the name of this person because sometimes we had a so-called "defector" from the United States that wanted to go to Russia and we had to keep an eye on them. Not I -- the station.

Consequently they were very hot about the whole thing. They said, "If you can get the name, rush it over immediately," Therefore, it is very seldom that I underlined the name because I put them in capitals. In this case I did because it was so important to them.



25

23

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

Mr. Genzman. Can you tell us exactly what lead to this request?

Mr. Tarasoff. What?

Mr. Genzman. Can you tell us exactly what lead to this request?

Mr. Tarasoff. I have no idea what lead to it. was my only main point, to find the name, to get the name of this person and deliver it as soon as possible to the station!

Can you speculate as to why this request Mr. Genzman. was made?

Mr. Tarasoff. It would be simply a speculation. called the Cuban Embassy and our people knew about it, then they really would be pressed to get the name.

Mr. Genzman. Are you saying then that there is a possibility that Oswald spoke to the Cuban Embassy personnel in addition to the Soviet Embassy personnel and that the people you dealt with in your operation made that connection and consequently made an urgent request that this person be identified?

There is a possibility, I think, that Mr. Tarasoff. that is exactly what happened.

Mr. Genzman. Again, can you tell us what features concerning this document labeled "Pl" at the bottom lead you to believe that it was done by you?

Mr. Tarasoff. Which one, "Pl"?

23

24

3

5

6

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

23

25

Mr. Genzman. Yes. You stated earlier that "Lee Oswald" is underlined?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Do you remember this conversation independent of this document?

Mr. Tarasoff. Independently, no.

Mr. Genzman. Do you remember whether Oswald spoke English or Russian during this conversation?

Mr. Tarasoff. As far as I remember, he was speaking Whenever he did slip a couple words of Russian in, it must have been unintelligible.

There is a comment between slash marks on Mr. Genzman. this page which states, "The same person who phoned a day or so ago and spoke in broken Russian".

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Are you now saying that you believe that this person spoke in English and not in Russian?

Mr. Tarasoff. I believe he spoke in English.

Mr. Genzman. Based on this possible discrepancy, do you have any feeling as to whether this document is authentic as opposed to being a forgery or an amended version of the original document?

I cannot conceive of this document being Mr. Tarasoff. a forgery. I have an idea in the back of my head that it was much stronger. There is the whole thing, the spacing, I

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

18

19

20

cannot say that it was longer than it is now.

Do you recall this conversation as including more substance than is in this document which you have before you, labeled "Pl"?

Let me rephrase that. Do you recall items in the conversation ---

Mr. Tarasoff. Items?

Mr. Genzman. Items, topics, in the conversation independently of this document which you do not see in this document.

Mr. Tarasoff. Unfortunately I do not. I am not certain.

Mr. Genzman. Do you have any independent recollection of Oswald having mentioned his financial situation during this conversation?

Mr. Tarasoff. No, I do not have any recollection of that. The main idea was to get the name of the person forwarded as soon as possible.

Mr. Genzman. At the beginning of this conversation there appears "In at" and "168" which is crossed out. Do you see that, Mr. Tarasoff, the number 151?

I put a question mark at the time. Mr. Tarasoff.

Mr. Genzman. Can you tell us what that would mean?

Mr. Tarasoff. The question mark means that I did not get the time when the call came through.

Mr. Genzman. Do you have any explanation for that feature?

23

--

3

5

6

8

10

!!

12

14

15

Ìá

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

Mr. Tarasoff. No.

Mr. Genzman. Can you also tell us what the words "pre-viously transcribed" mean?

Mr. Tarasoff. "Previously transcribed" means, for instance, I went to the embassy first and the embassy number was 605055. Now this number 501264 belonged to the film's office. So consequently if that particular call went out of the main building to the film's office and it had been transcribed before, that is exactly what I would do, put down "previously transcribed". So there was no question of doing it twice.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarasoff, you mentioned earlier that this transcription has the words "Lee Oswald". Were you able to identify the speaker in an earlier conversation based on this conversation? I would like to refer you back to the document labeled "P2".

I believe you said earlier that the conversation contained on "P2" did not contain any identifying information.

Mr. Tarasoff. It was just a repeat job at first.

Mr. Genzman. Were you able to identify the individual speaking on "P2" by means of this conversation on "P1"?

Mr. Tarasoff. "151. The same person who phoned a day or so ago and spoke in broken Russian". That is the one right here.

Mr. Genzman. You are saying from this conversation you

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

Mr. Tarasoff.

No.

STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D. C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 **1TH**

identified the speaker as Lee Oswald? Did you also make the inference that the speaker of the conversation at page "P2" was also Lee Oswald?

Mr. Tarasoff. No, because -- this is the 28th of September -- it is out of my hand. But the next one is October lst.

Mr. Genzman. Let me rephrase the question. The October lst conversation contains the name Lee Oswald, does it not?

Mr. Tarasoff. It does.

Mr. Genzman. The September 28 conversation does not contain a name?

Mr. Tarasoff. It does not contain the name. That is probably why they wanted to know the name.

Mr. Genzman. So, at the time you received the September 28 conversation you could not make any identity of the speaker?

Mr. Genzman. After you saw the October 1st conversation which contained the name Lee Oswald, did you then make the inference that the speaker in the September 28 conversation was Lee Oswald, the same speaker who spoke on October 1st?

Mr. Tarasoff. I guess he called up and just previous to that called the embassy and identified himself. I think it says -- I was not sure whether this particular number was a military attache or anyway it is one of the Soviet's.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarasoff, I am asking whether you at

21

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

· 8

10

!]

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

any time were able to identify the speaker in the September 28 conversation?

Mr. Tarasoff. No.

Mr. Genzman. Did you ever make the inference that the speakers on September 28 and October 1st were one and the same person?

Mr. Tarasoff. I sure did, right here, under item 151, under "Pl".

Mr. Genzman. Thank you.

Mr. Fithian. Mr. Tarasoff, I did not follow you exactly where you made that indication.

Mr. Tarasoff. It is on "Pl" and starts at 151 and then I have slash marks, "The same person who phoned a day or so ago and spoke in broken Russian". That particular sentence refers to the "P2".

Mr. Genzman. Are you saying then that you were able to make the connection between the two speakers based on your recollection of the voice from the September 28 transcript?

Mr. Tarasoff. Exactly.

Would you also state whether the language on "Pl", the October 1st conversation, I quote "I was at your place last Saturday and spoke to the consul", did that language also contribute to your inference that the September 28 speaker was the same as the October 1st speaker, namely Lee Oswald?



25

13

14

15

Ìá

17

18

19

10 11

1

2

3

5

20 23

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarasoff, do you recollect any other conversation or any other details about Lee Harvey Oswald's calls?

Mr. Tarasoff. Unfortunately I do not recollect any more conversations.

Can you say whether you remember any Mr. Genzman. other calls by Oswald or any other details which are not reflected in these documents, the three we have shown you?

Mr. Tarasoff. To be frank I do not remember any other.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarasoff, I would like to ask you a few more questions concerning voice comparison. Did you as a routine procedure identify the voices of the participants in the conversation if you could?

Mr. Tarasoff. Would you repeat that?

Mr. Genzman. Did you identify the voices of these people speaking if you were able to do so? Would you identify them on the transcript?

Mr. Tarasoff. I certainly would because some of them, it was not difficult, you could not mistake them for anybody else.

As part of this procedure, did you ever make use of identification tapes and comparisons between tapes?

Mr. Tarasoff. They wondered whether I could preserve No.

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ló

17

18

19

20

24

25

23

the voices, take the voice samples, cut out the section of the tape.

Mr. Genzman. Were you able to identify any of the people with whom Oswald spoke during this conversation?

Mr. Tarasoff. Here, for instance, "Pl", the first, a Obyed Kov was a guard at the gate. Usually they changed every eight hours. The first call comes through him. sequently, Lee Oswald was talking to Obyed; Kov. He said that he does not remember the name of the consul who was speaking to him and yet it was probably Kostikov. It says, "My name is Oswald".

Mr. Genzman. Were you at any time asked prior to the assassination of President Kennedy whether you could identify any of the people who spoke to Oswald?

Mr. Tarasoff. Would you repeat that, please?

Mr. Genzman. Did anyone ever ask you to make any identi+ fication of the people who spoke with Oswald at any time before the assassination of President Kennedy?

Mr. Tarasoff. You mean from our field office?

Mr. Genzman. Yes.

Mr. Tarasoff. No. No one approached us.

Mr. Genzman. Did anyone ask you after the assassination to make any identification of the speakers in these transcripts?

Mr. Tarasoff. Again I would say no, not that I can recollect.

3

5

10

! !

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

Mr. Genzman. Did anyone ever ask you to compare tapes to try to identify any of the speakers in any of the conversations?

Mr. Tarasoff. No. Sometimes those tapes would be erased.

Mr. Genzman. Did Ann Goodpaster ever contact you regarding the surveillance operation on Oswald?

Mr. Tarasoff.

Mr. Genzman. Do you happen to know Ann Goodpastor's function in Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. She was a pretty important person as far as I can judge because she was with the chief of the station and they kind of favored her quite a bit.

Mr. Genzman. Would you say she worked closely with the chief of the station?

Mr. Tarasoff. I would say so because -- well, she was one who was getting one of the carbon copies. Another one went to Rose -- I do not remember her family name.

Mr. Genzman. Are you saying then that Anne Goodpastor was involved in the telephone surveillance operation in the second Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. Not the telephone surveillance. busy with something else but, anyway, she kept the records.

Mr. Genzman. You are saying that she did receive one of the carbon copies of your transcription?

Mr. Tarasoff. Yes, when I was working inside the

23

10

11

12

13

23

19

embassy on TDY I had to give one copy to her, one to Rose and I think one went someplace else, the original went to the chief.

Mr. Genzman. Do you know whether AnneGoodpastor had any knowledge of the photo surveillance operations in Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. I believe she did.

Mr. Genzman. Did she work with the surveillance operation directly, to the best of your knowledge?

Mr. Tarasoff. Let me degress a bit. As I remember, there was a sort of flurry between all the employees at the station. What was happening, they were trying to recruit one of the defectors.

They tested everything, walkie-talkies and stuff like this. One day the place was practically empty. I thought, "What happened?" I did not know.

When I came back a few hours later, they did return with such a dejected air that I did not know what the heck happened. Then it was explained by somebody that said that this operation fell right through on its face.

Naturally I know AnneGoodpastor felt badly about it and the chief and everybody else was concerned.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarasoff, referring you back to the document labeled "Pl", you stated earlier you were able to determine that Lee Oswald spoke in this conversation and that

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

ló

17

18

19

20

TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C.

he, in fact, identified himself during this conversation?

Mr. Tarasoff. That is right.

Mr. Genzman. Did you at any time or in any manner indicate to any of your contacts in Mexico City, which other conversations were those of Oswald besides "Pl"?

Mr. Tarasoff. No. I passed the whole shebang to the contact, in fact, we had to call a special meeting. In other words, we got the name and immediately had to work on this one. I sent the whole thing back.

I thought okay, if they got the tape, everybody should be able to listen to it because it is in English. Whatever broken Russian he spoke was terrible.

Mr. Genzman. In characterizing your contact with the Lee Harvey Oswald case would you say that any of your contact with the case was out of the ordinary, aside from the request to your subsequently transcribing the conversation on "Pl"?

Mr. Tarasoff. Would you repeat that, please?

Mr. Genzman. Certainly. We have been discussing documents allegedly dealing with conversations which Lee Harvey Oswald had with the Soviet Embassy. Would you characterize your handling of these conversations as in any way out of the ordinary other than your previous statement that you received an urgent request to do one of the transcriptions?

Mr. Tarasoff. Well, the two previous ones were just in the ordinary course. We had a suspicion that Lee Oswald



23

24

2

3

5

ó

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

24

25

could have been a defector, according to this. To me it was a routine thing to do, to type up all this transcription and send it back to the station. Then came the request to see if I could get the name the next day.

So, when I got this particular name, which I underlined to bring their particular attention to it, I sent it back to the station with the reel. They would like to listen too. They had all the facilities at the station for doing so.

Mr. Genzman. Other than your contact with members of this committee were you ever at any time contacted by any government agency, the CIA, the FBI, the Warren Commission, or any other agency to explain your connection with the surveillance operation against Lee Harvey Oswald in Mexico City?

Mr. Tarasoff. Not a word from anyone.

Mr. Genzman. Thank you.

Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions at this time.

Mr. Fithian. Mr. Sawyer?

Mr. Sawyer. No questions, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Fithian. Do I understand that this completes the entire questioning of the witness by counsel?

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Chairman, we will have several more questions after either you or any of the other Congressmen have concluded with their questions, if you have any.

Mr. Fithian. I have no questions on this segment of the witness' testimony.

3

ó

10

11

12

13.

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Tarasoff, do you have any independent recollection of any other conversations involving Lee Harvey Oswald which you dealt with other than the three documents we have been discussing today?

Mr. Tarasoff. If there were any conversations outside of this, I do not recollect.

Mr. Genzman. Do you have any recollection of any other subject matter which Oswald discussed in any conversation other than the ---

Mr. Tarasoff. Personally, no.

Mr. Genzman. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.

Mr. Sawyer. You answered as to whether you had any recollection of any other subjects that were discussed. You said, "Personally, no". Is there any significance to the word "personally"? Do you have information on it some other way?

Mr. Tarasoff. Well, my wife thinks that there was another conversation, but I do not recollect it.

Mr. Sawyer. Did she say what she thought it was about?

Mr. Tarasoff. Pardon me?

Mr. Sawyer. Did she say what she thought the other conversation was about?

Mr. Tarasoff. She said there must have been another one, a much longer one than this.

Mr. Sawyer. That is all I have. Thank you.



23

24

300 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 lá Mr. Preyer. Thank you very much. We appreciate your being here.

We will take a five minute recess at this time.

(Whereupon, the subcommittee adjourned at 5:00 p.m.)